

On the Hermite-Fejér interpolation based at the zeros of generalized Freud polynomials

Maria Carmela De Bonis and Giuseppe Mastroianni

Abstract. This paper deals with a special Hermite-Fejér interpolation process based at the zeros of generalized Freud polynomials which are orthogonal with respect to the weight $w(x) = |x|^\alpha e^{-|x|^\beta}$, $x \neq 0$, $\alpha > -1$, $\beta > 1$. We prove its uniform convergence for functions belonging to a suitable space of functions equipped with a weighted uniform norm.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 41A05; Secondary 41A10.

Keywords. Interpolation, Hermite-Fejér, Orthogonal polynomials.

1. Introduction

Let $w(x) = |x|^\alpha e^{-|x|^\beta}$, $x \neq 0$, $\alpha > -1$, $\beta > 1$, be a generalized Freud weight and let $\{p_m(w)\}_m$ be the corresponding sequence of polynomials with positive leading coefficients and orthonormal in \mathbb{R} w.r.t. the weight w . The zeros of $p_m(w)$ are symmetrical with respect to the origin and lie in the interval $(-a_m(1 - \frac{c}{m^{2/3}}), a_m(1 - \frac{c}{m^{2/3}}))$, where $c > 0$ and $a_m := a_m(w) \sim m^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$ is the so-called Maskar-Rakmanov-Saff number (M-R-S number). We denote by x_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$, the positive zeros of $p_m(w)$ and by x_{-k} , $k = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$, the corresponding negative ones ($\lfloor a \rfloor$ stands for the largest integer smaller than or equal to $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$). We assume that m is even, then

$$-a_m \left(1 - \frac{c}{m^{2/3}}\right) < x_{-\frac{m}{2}} < \dots < x_{-1} < x_1 < \dots < x_{\frac{m}{2}} < a_m \left(1 - \frac{c}{m^{2/3}}\right).$$

The Hermite-Fejér polynomial based at these zeros has the form

$$F_m(w, f, x) = \sum_{k=-\frac{m}{2}}^{\frac{m}{2}} l_k^2(x) v_k(x) f(x_k),$$

where f is a continuous function on the real axis \mathbb{R} ($f \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$),

$$l_k(x) = \frac{p_m(w, x)}{p'_m(w, x_k)(x - x_k)}$$

are the fundamental Lagrange polynomials and

$$v_k(x) = 1 - 2 l'_k(x_k)(x - x_k).$$

The operator $F_m(w)$ has been considered in [7] and [9] when the parameter α of the weight w is null. The error estimates proved in such papers were improved by J. Szabados in [15] and by V.E.S. Szabó in [16]. Recently in [5, 6, 3, 4] the case $\alpha \neq 0$ has been studied assuming that the interpolated functions are uniformly continuous in \mathbb{R} . However this represents a strong limitation.

In this paper, following a procedure adopted in the last years for several approximation processes with exponential weights (see, for example, [10, 11, 13, 12, 1, 2]), we introduce a simpler interpolant of Hermite-Fejér-type that we will denote by $F_{m+2}^*(w, f)$. We will prove the uniform convergence of the sequence $\{F_{m+2}^*(w, f)\}_m$ to the function f in suitable spaces of functions equipped with a weighted uniform norm. The results are new and include those ones existing in the literature.

2. Main results

Also using an idea of J. Szabados, we consider the Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomial based on the zeros $\{x_k\}_{k=-\frac{m}{2}, \dots, \frac{m}{2}}$ and the two extra points $\pm a_m$. Letting $x_{\frac{m}{2}+1} = a_m$ and $x_{-\frac{m}{2}-1} = -a_m$, such a polynomial has the form

$$F_{m+2}(w, f, x) = \sum_{|k| \leq \frac{m}{2}+1} \ell_k^2(x) \nu_k(x) f(x_k),$$

where $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\ell_k(x) = l_k(x) \frac{a_m^2 - x^2}{a_m^2 - x_k^2}, \quad k = -\frac{m}{2}, \dots, \frac{m}{2}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\ell_{\pm(\frac{m}{2}+1)} = \frac{a_m \pm x}{2a_m} \frac{p_m(w, x)}{p_m(w, \pm a_m)},$$

and

$$\nu_k(x) = 1 - 2 \ell'_k(x_k)(x - x_k). \quad (2.2)$$

We have $F_{m+2}(w, f) \in \mathbb{P}_{2m+2}$ and $F_{m+2}(w, f, x_k) = f(x_k)$, $|k| \leq \frac{m}{2} + 1$. Now we recall an important property of the exponential weights considered here.

Letting $u(x) = |x|^\gamma e^{-|x|^\beta}$, $\gamma > 0$ not integer, $\beta > 1$, we introduce the space of functions

$$C_u = \left\{ f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}), \lim_{\substack{|x| \rightarrow \infty \\ x \rightarrow 0}} f(x)u(x) = 0 \right\}, \quad (2.3)$$

with the norm

$$\|f\|_{C_u} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)|u(x) = \|fu\|, \quad (2.4)$$

and we will write $\|f\|_A := \sup_{x \in A} |f(x)|$, $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. In C_u the Jackson theorem holds true [14] and the M-R-S number $a_m(u)$ related to u is equivalent to the one related to the weight w , then $a_m(u) \sim m^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$.

Now, for every polynomial $Q_m \in \mathbb{P}_m$ (\mathbb{P}_m denotes the set of all polynomials of degree at most m) the following relations hold true [14]:

$$\|Q_m u\| \leq C \|Q_m u\|_{\mathcal{I}_m}, \quad \mathcal{I}_m = \left[-a_m, -c \frac{a_m}{m}\right] \cup \left[c \frac{a_m}{m}, a_m\right], \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\|Q_m u\|_{\{x : |x| > (1+\delta)a_m\}} \leq C e^{-\mathcal{A}m} \|Q_m u\|, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\delta > 0$ and c, C, \mathcal{A} are independent of m and Q_m .

As a consequence of (2.6), for all $f \in C_u$ and $0 < \theta < 1$ fixed, the weight function u satisfies the following property:

$$\|fu\| \leq C [\|fu\|_{[-\theta a_m, \theta a_m]} + E_M(f)_u],$$

where C is independent of f , $E_M(f)_u$ is the error of best approximation of f in C_u and $M = \lfloor \frac{\theta m}{1+\theta} \rfloor \sim m$. Then $\|fu\|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-\theta a_m, \theta a_m]}$ is equivalent to the best approximation and $\|fu\|_{[-\theta a_m, \theta a_m]}$ is the dominant part of $\|fu\|$. This fact suggests us to apply the operator $F_{m+2}(w)$ to a finite section of the function f . Then, with $j = j(m)$ defined as

$$x_j = \min\{x_k : x_k \geq \theta a_m\}$$

and χ_j the characteristic function of the interval $[-x_j, x_j]$, we define

$$F_{m+2}^*(w, f, x) = F_{m+2}(w, \chi_j f, x) = \sum_{|k| \leq j} \ell_k^2(x) \nu_k(x) f(x_k). \quad (2.7)$$

By definition

$$F_{m+2}^*(w, f, x_i) = f(x_i), \quad |i| \leq j,$$

and

$$F_{m+2}^*(w, f, x_i) = 0, \quad |i| > j.$$

We introduce a second space of functions, $C_{\bar{u}}$, defined as in (2.3)-(2.4) with $u(x)$ replaced by $\bar{u}(x) = u(x) \log(2 + |x|)$. Obviously $C_{\bar{u}} \subset C_u$. Now we show that $F_{m+2}^*(w) : C_{\bar{u}} \rightarrow C_u$ is a bounded map. We can establish the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that the parameters α and γ of the weights w and u satisfy the condition*

$$\alpha > -1, \quad \gamma > 0, \quad 0 < \gamma - \alpha < 1, \quad (2.8)$$

then

$$\|F_{m+2}^*(w, f)u\| \leq C \|f\bar{u}\|_{[-x_j, x_j]},$$

where C is independent of m and $f \in C_{\bar{u}}$.

In order to evaluate the error $(f - F_{m+2}^*(w, f))u$, we point out that the weight u has a zero at the origin. Thus the more suitable modulus of smoothness in $C_{\bar{u}}$ is [14]

$$\omega(f, t)_{\bar{u}}^* = \Omega(f, t)_{\bar{u}} + \inf_{P \in \mathbb{P}_0} \|(f - P)\bar{u}\|_{[-c\frac{a_m}{m}, c\frac{a_m}{m}]},$$

being

$$\Omega(f, t)_{\bar{u}} = \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \|(\Delta_h f)\bar{u}\|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-c\frac{a_m}{m}, c\frac{a_m}{m}]},$$

with

$$\Delta_h f(x) = f\left(x + \frac{h}{2}\right) - f\left(x - \frac{h}{2}\right).$$

Using [14], it is easy to verify that

$$E_m(f)_{\bar{u}} = \inf_{P \in \mathbb{P}_m} \|(f - P)\bar{u}\| \leq \mathcal{C}\omega\left(f, \frac{a_m}{m}\right)_{\bar{u}}^* \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\lim_m \omega\left(f, \frac{a_m}{m}\right)_{\bar{u}}^* = 0.$$

Now, we can establish the convergence theorem

Theorem 2.2. *Under the assumption of Lemma 2.1 on the parameters α and γ , we get*

$$\|(f - F_{m+2}^*(w, f))u\| \leq \mathcal{C} \left[\omega\left(f, \frac{a_m}{m} \log m\right)_{\bar{u}}^* + \frac{a_m}{m} \log m \|f\bar{u}\| \right],$$

where \mathcal{C} is independent of m and f .

Next remark completes the previous result.

Remark 2.3. In this paper, employing the two additional points $\pm a_m$ together with the “truncation”, we construct the operator $F_{m+2}^*(w)$ that is simpler than $F_{m+2}(w)$, since a smaller number of evaluations of the function is used, and that, in addition, satisfies Theorem 2.2. The operator $F_{m+2}(w)$ with or without the additional points $\pm a_m$ and with or without the “truncation”, by contrast, can converge for classes of functions more restricted than those considered here.

For the sake of simplicity we chose the weight $|x|^\alpha e^{-|x|^\beta}$. However it is possible to replace $e^{-|x|^\beta}$ with $e^{-Q(x)}$, provided that the latter is a Freud weight (see [8]) under the condition that $\frac{Q'(x)}{\log(2+|x|)}$ increases in $[0, +\infty)$.

3. Proofs

We recall some inequalities that will be useful in the sequel:

$$\left| p_m^2(w, x)w(x)\sqrt{a_m^2 - x^2 + \frac{a_m^2}{m^{2/3}}} \right| \leq \mathcal{C}, \quad \frac{a_m}{m} \leq |x| \leq a_m, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\frac{1}{|p_m^2(w, x_k)w(x_k)|} \sim \Delta^2 x_k \sqrt{a_m^2 - x_k^2}, \quad |k| \leq \frac{m}{2}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\Delta x_k \sim \frac{a_m}{m} \sim \frac{1}{m^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}}}, \quad |k| \leq j, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$|l'_k(x_k)| \leq \mathcal{C} \left[\frac{|x_k|}{a_m^2} + \beta |x_k|^{\beta-1} + \frac{1}{|x_k|} \right]. \quad (3.4)$$

These inequalities can be deduced from [5, Theorem 3.6, p. 42].

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Using (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$\frac{\ell_k^2(x)u(x)}{\bar{u}(x_k)} \leq \mathcal{C} \left| \frac{x}{x_k} \right|^{\gamma-\alpha} \left(\frac{a_m^2 - x^2}{a_m^2 - x_k^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\Delta^2 x_k}{\log(2 + |x_k|)(x - x_k)^2} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C} \left| \frac{x}{x_k} \right|^{\gamma-\alpha} \frac{\Delta^2 x_k}{(x - x_k)^2}, \quad \frac{a_m}{m} \leq |x| \leq a_m, \quad (3.6)$$

being $|k| \leq j$. Moreover, by definition (2.1) and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\ell'_k(x_k)| &\leq \frac{2|x_k|}{a_m^2 - x_k^2} + |l'_k(x_k)| \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \left[\frac{|x_k|}{a_m^2 - x_k^2} + \frac{|x_k|}{a_m^2} + \beta |x_k|^{\beta-1} + \frac{1}{|x_k|} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by definition (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} |\nu_k(x)| &\leq 1 + \mathcal{C} \left[\frac{|x_k|}{a_m^2 - x_k^2} + \frac{|x_k|}{a_m^2} + \beta |x_k|^{\beta-1} + \frac{1}{|x_k|} \right] |x - x_k| \\ &\leq 1 + \mathcal{C} \left[1 + \frac{1}{|x_k|} + |x_k|^{\beta-1} \right] |x - x_k|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, also in virtue of (3.7) and (3.3), it is easy to verify that

$$\frac{\ell_d^2(x)u(x)}{\bar{u}(x_d)} |\nu_d(x)| \leq \mathcal{C}, \quad (3.8)$$

being x_d a zero closest to x . Thus, recalling (2.7) and taking into account (3.6) and (3.8), for $\frac{a_m}{m} < |x| \leq a_m$,

$$u(x) |F_{m+2}^*(w, f, x)| \leq$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C} \|f\bar{u}\|_{[-x_j, x_j]} \left[\sum_{\substack{|x_k| \leq |x_j| \\ k \neq d-1, d, d+1}} \left| \frac{x}{x_k} \right|^{\gamma-\alpha} \frac{\Delta^2 x_k}{(x - x_k)^2} \frac{\nu_k(x)}{\log(2 + |x_k|)} + 1 \right]. \quad (3.9)$$

Now we estimate the sum in (3.9). We first consider the case $|x| > 2$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} u(x)|F_{m+2}^*(w, f, x)| &\leq \mathcal{C}\|f\bar{u}\|_{[-x_j, x_j]} \left[\sum_{|x_1| \leq |x_k| \leq 1} + \sum_{1 < |x_k| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\frac{|x|}{2} < |x_k| < |x_{d-2}|} + \sum_{|x_{d+2}| \leq |x_k| < |x_j|} \right] \\ &=: \mathcal{C}\|f\bar{u}\|_{[-x_j, x_j]} [\sigma_1(x) + \sigma_2(x) + \sigma_3(x) + \sigma_4(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

For $|x_1| \leq |x_k| \leq 1$, (3.7) becomes

$$|\nu_k(x)| \leq \mathcal{C} \frac{|x|}{|x_k|}.$$

Then, taking also into account $x - x_k > \frac{x}{2}$, $\gamma - \alpha < 1$, (3.3) and $\frac{a_m}{m} < |x|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(x) &\leq \mathcal{C} \sum_{|x_1| \leq |x_k| \leq 1} \left| \frac{x}{x_k} \right|^{\gamma - \alpha + 1} \frac{\Delta^2 x_k}{(x - x_k)^2} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} |x|^{\gamma - \alpha - 1} \frac{a_m}{m} \sum_{|x_1| \leq |x_k| \leq 1} \Delta x_k |x_k|^{\alpha - \gamma - 1} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \sum_{|x_1| \leq |x_k| \leq 1} \Delta x_k |x_k|^{\alpha - \gamma} \leq \mathcal{C} \int_{-1}^1 |t|^{\alpha - \gamma} dt \leq \mathcal{C}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that for $1 < |x_k| \leq |x_j|$, (3.7) becomes

$$|\nu_k(x)| \leq 1 + \mathcal{C} |x_k|^{\beta - 1} |x - x_k|, \quad \beta > 1, \quad (3.10)$$

and, then,

$$\sigma_2(x) \leq \sum_{1 < |x_k| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} \left| \frac{x}{x_k} \right|^{\gamma - \alpha} \frac{\Delta^2 x_k}{(x - x_k)^2} \left[1 + \mathcal{C} \frac{|x_k|^{\beta - 1}}{\log(2 + |x_k|)} |x - x_k| \right].$$

Thus, using (3.3) and $x - x_k > \frac{x}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2(x) &\leq \mathcal{C} \frac{a_m}{m} |x|^{\gamma - \alpha - 2} \sum_{1 < |x_k| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} |x_k|^{\alpha - \gamma} \Delta x_k \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathcal{C}}{\log m} \sum_{1 < |x_k| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} \left| \frac{x}{x_k} \right|^{\gamma - \alpha} \frac{\Delta x_k}{(x - x_k)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} + \frac{\mathcal{C}}{\log m} \int_1^{\frac{x}{2}} \left| \frac{x}{t} \right|^{\gamma - \alpha} \frac{dt}{(x - t)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} + \frac{\mathcal{C}}{\log m} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\alpha - \gamma} \frac{dy}{(1 - y)} \leq \mathcal{C} + \frac{\mathcal{C}}{\log m}, \end{aligned}$$

being $\alpha - \gamma > -1$. Moreover, taking into account (3.10), (3.3) and $|x| \sim |x_k|$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_3(x) &\leq \sum_{\frac{|x|}{2} < |x_k| < |x_{d-2}|} \frac{\Delta^2 x_k}{(x - x_k)^2} \left[1 + \mathcal{C} \frac{|x_k|^{\beta-1}}{\log(2 + |x_k|)} |x - x_k| \right] \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C} \sum_{\frac{|x|}{2} < |x_k| < |x_{d-2}|} \frac{\Delta x_k}{|x - x_k|} \frac{|x_k|^{\beta-1} \Delta x_k}{\log(2 + |x_k|)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} + \frac{\mathcal{C}}{\log m} \int_{\frac{x}{2}}^{x - \Delta x_d} \frac{dt}{x - t} \sim \frac{1}{\log m} \log \frac{x}{2\Delta x_d} \sim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, proceeding as done for the estimate of $\sigma_3(x)$, it results

$$\sigma_4(x) \leq \mathcal{C}.$$

Summing up, taking into account (2.5),

$$\|F_{m+2}^*(w, f)u\| \leq \mathcal{C} \|F_{m+2}^*(w, f)u\|_{\mathcal{I}_m} \leq \mathcal{C} \|f\bar{u}\|_{[-x_j, x_j]}, \quad |x| \geq 2,$$

The case $|x| < 2$ is simpler and we omit the details. \square

In order to prove Theorem 2.2, we need some further considerations. We recall that if g is continuous with its first derivative, the Hermite polynomial interpolating g and g' , based on the zeros $x_k, |k| \leq \frac{m}{2} + 1$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{m+2}(w, g, x) &= \sum_{|k| \leq \frac{m}{2} + 1} \ell_k^2(x) \nu_k(x) g(x_k) + \sum_{|k| \leq \frac{m}{2} + 1} \ell_k^2(x) (x - x_k) g'(x_k) \\ &=: F_{m+2}(w, g, x) + G_{m+2}(w, g, x). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $G_{m+2}^*(w, g, x) = G_{m+2}(w, \chi_j g, x)$, the proposition that follows will be useful to our aims.

Proposition 3.1. *Assuming that the parameters α and γ satisfy (2.8), then, for every $g, g' \in C_u$, we have*

$$\|G_{m+2}^*(w, g)u\| \leq \mathcal{C} \frac{a_m}{m} \log m \|g'u\|_{[-x_j, x_j]}, \quad (3.11)$$

where \mathcal{C} is independent of m and f . Moreover, for every polynomial $Q_M \in \mathcal{P}_M$, with $M \leq \lfloor \frac{\theta m}{1+\theta} \rfloor$, $0 < \theta < 1$, we get

$$\|H_{m+2}(w, (1 - \chi_j)Q_M)u\| \leq \mathcal{C} e^{-A_m} \|Q_M u\|, \quad (3.12)$$

where \mathcal{C} and A are independent of m and Q_M .

Proof. In order to prove the inequality (3.11) we recall (3.6). Then, using (3.3), we get

$$|G_{m+2}^*(w, g, x)u(x)| \leq \mathcal{C} \frac{a_m}{m} \|g'u\|_{[-x_j, x_j]} \sum_{|k| \leq j} \left| \frac{x}{x_k} \right|^{\gamma - \alpha} \frac{\Delta x_k}{|x - x_k|}.$$

Since, by similar arguments to those used for the proof of Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\sum_{|k| \leq j} \left| \frac{x}{x_k} \right|^{\gamma-\alpha} \frac{\Delta x_k}{|x - x_k|} \leq \mathcal{C} \log m,$$

(3.11) easily follows. To prove (3.12) we limit ourself to show that

$$\|G_{m+2}(w, (1 - \chi_j)Q_M)u\| \leq \mathcal{C}e^{-Am}\|Q_M u\|, \quad (3.13)$$

being the estimate of $\|F_{m+2}(w, (1 - \chi_j)Q_M)u\|$ similar.

Now, using (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |G_{m+2}(w, (1 - \chi_j)Q_M, x)|u(x) &\leq \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}\|Q'_M u\|_{[x_j, +\infty)} \sum_{|k| > j} \left| \frac{x}{x_k} \right|^{\gamma-\alpha} \left(\frac{a_m^2 - x^2}{a_m^2 - x_k^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\Delta^2 x_k}{|x - x_k|} \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}m^\tau \|Q'_M u\|_{[x_j, +\infty)}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\tau > 0$. Finally, by (2.6) and the Bernstein theorem [8], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m^\tau \|Q'_M u\|_{[\theta a_m, +\infty)} &\leq \mathcal{C}m^\tau e^{-\bar{A}m} \|Q'_M u\| \leq \mathcal{C} \frac{m^{1+\tau}}{a_m} e^{-\bar{A}m} \|Q_M u\| \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}e^{-Am} \|Q_M u\| \end{aligned}$$

and (3.13) easily follows. \square

Now we can prove Theorem 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $P_N \in \mathbb{P}_N$, $N = \left\lfloor \frac{M}{\log M} \right\rfloor$, $M = \left\lfloor \frac{\theta m}{1+\theta} \right\rfloor$, be the polynomial of best approximation of $f \in C_{\bar{u}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f - F_{m+2}^*(w, f) &= f - P_N + H_{m+2}(w, P_N) - F_{m+2}^*(w, f) \\ &= f - P_N + F_{m+2}^*(w, f - P_N) \\ &\quad + G_{m+2}^*(w, P_N) + H_{m+2}(w, (1 - \chi_j)P_N), \end{aligned}$$

from which, using Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 3.1, we get

$$\|(f - F_{m+2}^*(w, f))u\| \leq \mathcal{C} \left[\|(f - P_N)\bar{u}\| + \frac{a_N}{N} \|P'_N \bar{u}\| + e^{-Am} \|P_N \bar{u}\| \right].$$

Since

$$\|P_N \bar{u}\| \leq 2\|f\bar{u}\|,$$

recalling (2.9) we have

$$\|(f - P_N)\bar{u}\| \leq \mathcal{C}\omega\left(f, \frac{a_N}{N}\right)_{\bar{u}}^* \sim \omega\left(f, \frac{a_m}{m} \log m\right)_{\bar{u}}^*,$$

and by [14, th 2.3, p. 291] we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_N}{N} \|P'_N \bar{u}\| &\leq \mathcal{C} \left[\omega\left(f, \frac{a_N}{N}\right)_{\bar{u}}^* + \frac{1}{N} \|f\bar{u}\| \right] \\ &\sim \omega\left(f, \frac{a_m}{m} \log m\right)_{\bar{u}}^* + \frac{a_m}{m} \log m \|f\bar{u}\|, \end{aligned}$$

the theorem follows. \square

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the referee for the remarks that contributed to the improvement of the first version of the manuscript.

This is a pre-print of an article published in Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics. The final authenticated version is available online at:

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-018-1073-4>

References

- [1] M.C. De Bonis, G. Mastroianni, I. Notarangelo: Gaussian quadrature rules with exponential weights on $(-1, 1)$. *Numer. Math.* **120** (2012), no. 3, 433-464.
- [2] M.C. De Bonis, G. Mastroianni: Numerical Treatment of a class of systems of Fredholm integral equations on the real line. *Math. Comp.* **83** (2014), no. 286, 771-788.
- [3] Y. Kanjin, R. Sakai, Pointwise convergence of Hermite-Fejér interpolation of higher order for Freud weights. *Tohoku Math. J.* **46** (1994), 181-206.
- [4] Y. Kanjin, R. Sakai, Convergence of the derivatives of Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials of higher order based at the zeros of Freud polynomials. *J. Approx. Theory* **80** (1995), 378-389.
- [5] T. Kasuga, R. Sakai, Orthonormal polynomials with generalized Freud-type weights. *J. Approx. Theory* **121** (2003), 13-53.
- [6] T. Kasuga, R. Sakai: Orthonormal polynomials for generalized Freud-type weights and higher-order Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials. *J. Approx. Theory* **127** (2004), 1-38.
- [7] D.S. Lubinsky: Hermite and Hermite-Fejér interpolation and associated product integration rules on the real line: The L_∞ theory. *J. Approx. Theory* **70** (1992), 463-535.
- [8] E. Levin, D.S. Lubinsky, Orthogonal polynomials for exponential weights, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématique de la SMC, Vol. 4, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [9] D.S. Lubinsky, P. Rabinowitz: Hermite and Hermite-Fejér interpolation and associated product integration rules on the real line: The L_1 theory. *Can. J. Math. Theory* **44** (1992), 561-590.
- [10] G. Mastroianni, G. Monegato: Truncated Gauss-Laguerre quadrature rules. Recent trends in Numerical Analysis, 213-221, *Adv. Theory Comput. Math.*, **3**, Nova Sci. Publ., Huntington, NY, 2001.
- [11] G. Mastroianni, G. Monegato: Truncated quadrature rules over $(0, \infty)$ and Nyström type methods. *SIAM J. Num. Anal.* **41** (2003), no. 5, 1870-1892.
- [12] G. Mastroianni, I. Notarangelo: Lagrange interpolation with exponential weights on $(-1, 1)$. *J. Approx. Theory* **167** (2013), 65-93.
- [13] G. Mastroianni, D. Occorsio: Lagrange interpolation based at Sonin-Markov zeros. Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Functional Analysis and Approximation Theory, Vol. II (Potenza, 2000). *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) Suppl. 2002, no. 68, part II, 683-697.
- [14] G. Mastroianni, J. Szabados: Polynomial approximation on infinite intervals with weights having inner zeros. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **96** (2002), no. 3, 221-258.

- [15] J. Szabados: Weighted Lagrange and Hermite-Fejér interpolation on the real Line. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **1** (1997), no. 2, 99-123.
- [16] V.E.S. Szabó: Weighted interpolation: the L_∞ theory I. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **83** (1-2) (1999), 131-159.

Maria Carmela De Bonis

Department of Mathematics, Computer Science and Economics,

University of Basilicata,

Viale dell'Ateneo Lucano 10,

85100 Potenza, Italy.

e-mail: mariacarmela.debonis@unibas.it

Giuseppe Mastroianni

Department of Mathematics, Computer Science and Economics,

University of Basilicata,

Viale dell'Ateneo Lucano 10,

85100 Potenza, Italy.

e-mail: giuseppe.mastroianni@unibas.it