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#### Abstract

The structure of a 1,7,7-triaryl norbornane (compound $\mathbf{3}$ ) has been determined by X-ray diffraction and was found essentially equal to that predicted by molecular mechanics calculations. Restricted rotation of the aryl groups also has been observed by dynamic NMR spectroscopy in this compound and in a number of analogously substituted norbornanes. The aryl-norbornane bond rotation barriers were measured by line shape analysis of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra obtained at temperatures Iower than $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and were found to cover the range 6.0 to $7.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. An exception was the rotation involving the o-anisyl group in compound $\mathbf{5}$, which occurs near ambient temperature since the corresponding barrier is much higher ( $14.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ). In one case (compound 4) configurational enantiomers could be separated by chiral HPLC and the corresponding CD spectra recorded.


## Introduction

The importance of studies concerning the arene-arene interactions ${ }^{2}$ recently has been pointed out again by Martinez and co-workers who, for this purpose, investigated a number of 7,7-diaryl-substituted norbornanes. ${ }^{3,4}$ In particular they were able to measure the rotation barriers about the aryl-carbon bond when the aryl is a phenyl group bearing a fluorine substituent in the ortho position. ${ }^{3}$ Subsequently we could also determine the much lower barriers occurring in the analogous 9,9-diaryl biciclononanes. ${ }^{5}$ We have now extended our studies to the case of 7,7-diaryl-substituted norbornanes that do not necessarily bear an ortho substituent in the aryl group. In particular we investigated norbornanes 1-5 by means

[^0]of Iow-temperature NMR spectroscopy, Molecular Mechanics calculations, and X-ray diffraction.


## Results and Discussion

Theoretical MM calculations (MMX force fiel ${ }^{6}$ ) carried out for compound $\mathbf{1}$ indicate that the ground-state conformation has the two phenyl rings in an apical cofacial ${ }^{3}$ relationship (as shown in Figure 1), which does not display the propeller-like shape exhibited by the diaryl methane derivatives. ${ }^{7}$
The tridimensional energy surface computed as a function of the two phenyl-C7 bond angles (Figure 1)

[^1]

FIGURE 1. Computed ${ }^{6}$ energy surface for $\mathbf{1}$ as a function of the phenyl-C7 rotation angles $\vartheta_{1}$ and $\vartheta_{2}$. The lines describe the pathway between the topomers visited by the rotation of each phenyl group.
suggests that the two phenyl rings rotate independently of each other (in other words they do not undergo a correlated cogwheel pathway ${ }^{8}$ ): the barrier for this process is computed to be about $10 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Even allowing for the approximations involved in such computations, the value for this barrier should be high enough as to be amenableto an experimental verification by means of dynamic NMR spectroscopy.

In Figure 2 (left) the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals of the ortho and meta carbons (unambiguously assigned ${ }^{9}$ by the HMBC sequence ${ }^{10}$ ) are reported as a function of temperature. The two sharp single lines, observed from ambient temperature until $-49^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, broaden on further cooling and each splits into a pair of equally intense lines at $-132^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This is a consequence of the restricted rotation about the $\mathrm{Ph}-$ C 7 bond that renders diastereotopic the ortho and meta positions, so that four lines are observed for the corresponding carbons. Computer line shape simulation (Figure 2, right) yields the values of the rate constants, hence the free energy of activation $\left(\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}=7.9 \pm 0.15 \mathrm{kcal}\right.$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), for the rotation of the phenyl group (Table 1). As is often observed in conformational processes, this value
(8) (a) Mislow, K. Acc. Chem. Res. 1976, 9, 26, (b) Mislow, K. Chemtracts Org. Chem. 1989, 2, 151. (c) Biali, S. E.; Nugiel, D. A.; Rappoport, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 846. (d) Glaser, R. In Acyclic Organonitrogen Stereochemistry; Lambert, J. B., Takeuchi, Y., Eds.; VCH: New York, 1992; Chapter 4, p 123. (e) Grilli, S.; Lunazzi, L.; Mazzanti, A.; Mazzanti, G. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 748 and references quoted therein.
(9) At ambient temperature the orthocarbon line is at lower field with respect to the meta, but moves at higher field on cooling, the crossing point occurring at about $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ where the two lines are coincident.
(10) Claridge, T. D. W. High-Resolution NMR Techniques in Organic Chemistry; Pergamon: Oxford, UK, 1999; Chapter 6.


FIGURE 2. Left: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals ( 100.6 MHz ) of the ortho (o) and meta ( m ) carbons of the phenyl groups of $\mathbf{1}$ as a function of temperature in $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{CHFCl}_{2}$. The 1st and 3rd lines of the spectrum at $-132^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 129.25 and 128.8 ppm ) are due to the diastereotopic meta carbons, the 2nd and the 4th (129.1 and 128.45 ppm ) to the diastereotopic ortho carbons. Right: Computer simulation obtained with the rate constants indicated.

TABLE 1. Experimental Rotation Barriers ( $\Delta \mathbf{G}^{\ddagger}, \pm \mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) for Compounds 1-5

| bond rotation | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| phenyl-C7 | 7.9 | 6.0 |  | $6.1_{5}$ |  |
| p-anisyl-C7 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.7 |
| phenyl-C1 |  |  |  | $6.0_{5}$ | 7.5 |
| o-anisyl-C7 |  |  |  |  | 14.4 |

turns out to be independent of temperature, within the experimental uncertainty. ${ }^{11}$ The difference (about 2 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) between the experimental and computed barrier is quite acceptable, given the complexity of the molecule investigated.

When the methyl group of $\mathbf{1}$ is replaced by a phenyl group (as in derivative 2), two rotational processes are expected to occur, in principle, for the two types of phenyl substituents. However, MM calculations ${ }^{6}$ indicate that the barrier for the rotation of the phenyl in position 1 is almost equal to that for the two phenyl groups in position 7 (depending on the approach involved in the computing

[^2]procedure, the values cover the range $6.3 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{kcal}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ). Such a near identity of the two barriers can be accounted for by considering that the motion of the phenyl bonded to C1 is essentially correlated to that of each phenyl bonded to C7. The rotation of the phenyl bonded to C1 simultaneously drives the rotation of the other two phenyl rings, according to a type of cogwheel pathway where the three rings move in unison, thus sharing a common transition state. ${ }^{8}$ The barrier for this process is predicted to be significantly lower than the corresponding barrier previously computed for $\mathbf{1}$, as often occurs when correlated motions are involved. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{c}}$ The calculations also establish that in the ground state of $\mathbf{2}$ the phenyl in position 1 adopts a disposition having the ring essentially orthogonal to the plane of symmetry of the molecule (the plane of this phenyl is actually computed to deviate by $25^{\circ}$ from a perfect orthogonal arrangement, but a low barrier libration process ${ }^{12}$ establishes again a dynamic $\mathrm{C}_{s}$ symmetry in the NMR time scale). For this reason the corresponding rotation is NMR invisible since the ortho and the meta positions remain indistinguishable, as in the conditions of fast rotation, even when this motion is frozen: consequently a single line for the corresponding pairs of carbons is observed at any accessible temperature.
The barrier involving the rotation of the two phenyl groups bonded to C7 could be experimentally determined, yielding a value ( $6.0 \pm 0.15 \mathrm{kcal}^{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1}$ ) definitely lower than that ( $7.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) measured in $\mathbf{1}$, in agreement with the above theoretical predictions. This supports the existence of the mentioned cogwheel pathway and also agrees with the predicted arrangement of the phenyl in position 1, which implies a lower hindrance to the rotation of the other two phenyl groups with respect to the case of a methyl bonded to C 1 . Although this result provides an indirect support to the computed conformation of $\mathbf{2}$, direct evidence, such as that provided by the X-ray structure, would be desirable.

In the case of $\mathbf{2}$ we were unable to grow single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction, but they could be obtained for the anal ogous compound 3, which only differs from 2 by the presence of methoxy groups in the para positions. As shown in Figure 3, the experimental and computed structures are quite similar: in particular X-ray diffraction (see the Supporting Information) confirms that the plane of the phenyl group bonded to C1 is essentially orthogonal to the plane identified by $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 7, \mathrm{C} 4$ in the norbornane ring.

We also verified that in the case of $\mathbf{3}$ the barrier for the rotation of the phenyl in position 1 is NMR invisible and that the barrier for the observable rotation of the p -anisyl moieties ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}=6.0 \pm 0.15 \mathrm{kcal}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) is equal, within the experimental errors, to that measured in the case of $\mathbf{2}$ for the corresponding unsubstituted phenyl groups. In other words, the presence of a methoxy group does not appreciably affect the rotation barrier.
To obtain an experimental determination of the dynamic process involving the phenyl group bonded to C1 it is thus necessary to desymmetrize the molecule by rendering the two substituents in position 7 different. Compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ fulfill, in principle, this requirement.
(12) The computed ${ }^{6}$ barrier for such a low amplitude libration process was found as small as $1.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.


FIGURE 3. X-ray (top) and MM computed ${ }^{6}$ structure (bottom) of compound 3.


FIGURE 4. Temperature dependence of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signals ( 100.6 MHz ) in $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{CHFCl}_{2}$ of the ortho carbons (indicated as o and of ) of the phenyl in position 1 of compound 4 (left). On the right is displayed the computer simulation obtained with the rate constants indicated.

The low-temperature ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra of 4 (Figure 4) actually show that the ortho carbon signal of the phenyl in position 1 (identified via HSQC and HMBC sequences ${ }^{10}$ ) splits below $-147{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, indicating that the two ortho positions are now diastereotopic: the corresponding rotation barrier was found to be $6.0_{5} \pm 0.15 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. As in the case of $\mathbf{2}$ and 3, the ortho signals of the two aryl substituents in position 7 also split at low temperature in compound 4, and barriers of $6.1_{5}$ and 6.1 kcal

## SCHEME 1a


a The computed relative energies are in $\mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1}$.

## SCHEME 2


$\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ were determined for the rotation of the phenyl and of the p-anisyl groups, respectively. These three values areequal within the experimental uncertainty (see Table 1), in agreement with the proposed correlated process (cogwheel pathway ${ }^{8}$ ) that entails, as mentioned, a common transition state and, consequently, a unique $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ value. ${ }^{13}$

As in the case of $\mathbf{1}$, the $M M$ computations carried out on 4 indicate that the rotation of each aryl group drives the rotation of the other two. This process leads to the corresponding topomer (where the positions a, c, e are exchanged, respectively, with the positions b, d, f) through a unique transition state, shared by the three rotating aryl groups, as shown in Scheme 1. The computed barrier for this topomerization process ( 5.6 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) matches satisfactorily the corresponding experimental value (about $6.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) reported in Table 1.

In the case of 5, the restricted rotation about the o-anisyl to C7 bond is expected to generate two conformers, having the corresponding methoxy group on the same or on the opposite side with respect to the phenyl bonded to C1 (Z- and E-conformers, respectively, as in Scheme 2).

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 5 , in both $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and toluene$\mathrm{d}_{8}$, showed that the methoxy line of the o-anisyl sub-

[^3]stituent, which was quite broad at ambient temperature, sharpened on warming as well as on cooling (see the Supporting I nformation): such behavior is typical for an exchange process between two very biased species. ${ }^{14-16}$ Whereas above ambient temperature the relative intensity of the integrated OMe signal of the o-anisyl substituent corresponds exactly to three hydrogens, at a temperature (e.g. $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) where the exchange process is blocked, its relative intensity is slightly lower. This is because the observed o-anisyl OMe signal corresponds, in these conditions, solely to the major of the two conformers, and lacks the contribution of the minor one. On this basis we carefully searched for the minor signal, which was eventually found 0.75 ppm upfield with respect to its major partner in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3},{ }^{17}$ its proportion being about $5 \pm 1 \%$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (the minor signals of some other aliphatic hydrogens also displayed the same proportion).

The maximum incremental line-width broadening ( $\Delta \omega$ ) measured at 600 M Hz for the exchanging ortho OMeline of 5 was 38 Hz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (at $+29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and 27 Hz in toluene$\mathrm{d}_{8}$ (at $+25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The appropriate formula $(\mathrm{k}=2 \pi \Delta \omega)^{15}$ provided the rate constants ( $k=240$ and $170 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively) for the interconversion of the major into the minor conformer: the barriers ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ ) obtained from these rates turned out to have the same value ( $14.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) in both solvents (Table 1). ${ }^{18}$

To decide whether the less-hindered E-conformer is actually more stable than the Z-conformer, a NOE experiment (DPFGSE-NOE sequence ${ }^{19}$ ) was carried out in toluene $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, i.e., a temperature where the rotation rate is slow in the NMR time scale, thus minimizing the saturation transfer effects. ${ }^{20}$ Irradiation of the CH triplet signal in position 4 of the norbornane

[^4]

FIGURE 5. Enantioselective HPLC chromatogram (top) and CD spectra (bottom) of the enantiomers of 4, the bold trace being that of the first eluted enantiomer. The terms R and S refer to the configuration of carbon in position 7 of the norbonane ring.
ring of the major conformer results in an enhancement of the corresponding major OMe line of the o-anisyl substituent and, likewise, irradiation of the latter line enhances the triplet of CH in position 4 (see the Supporting Information). Since the computed ${ }^{6}$ average distance between the CH in position 4 and the OMe hydrogens of the o-anisyl group is $6.8 \AA$ in the Z - and $3.9 \AA$ in the E -conformer, the observed NOE effect clearly establishes that compound 5 essentially adopts the E conformation.

Restricted rotation was also observed for the aryl groups bonded to C1 and C7, which displayed anisochronous ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ lines at $-145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (see the Supporting Information) for the corresponding ortho and meta carbons (assigned by COSY and HSQC sequences ${ }^{10}$ ). Computer line shape analysis provided $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ values of 7.5 and $6.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for the phenyl-C1 and for the p-anisylC7 bond rotation, respectively (Table 1). Contrary to the case of 4, the barriers for the three rotating aryl groups of 5 have different values. This indicates that the presence of the bulky o-anisyl substituent has disrupted the correlated rotation process occurring in 4 and has made each aryl group of 5 rotate independently of the other. F or this reason wefound three different activation energies (see Table 1) that correspond to the three possible transition states. ${ }^{21}$

As mentioned, compound $\mathbf{4}$ does not possess any element of symmetry ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ point group): the ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$ NMR single line of the methoxyl group thus splits, in a chiral
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## SCHEME 3


environment, ${ }^{22}$ into a pair of equally intense peaks (separated by 1.0 Hz at 600 MHz , as shown in the Supporting Information) corresponding to the signals expected for the two possible enantiomers. In fact, although compound $\mathbf{4}$ apparently has three asymmetric carbons (i.e. carbons in positions 1, 4, and 7 of the norbornane moiety), only a pair of enantiomers can exist: a change in the chirality of $\mathbf{C 7}$ implies, in fact, a simultaneous change of the configuration of the other two stereogenic centers (C1 and C4) that cannot therefore display a configuration independent of that of carbon in position $7 .{ }^{23}$
By making use of an appropriate enantioselective column (see the Experimental Section) two well-resolved peaks were actually detected in the HPLC chromatogram of 4, as shown in Figure 5, where the corresponding oppositely phased CD spectra are also reported.

## Experimental Section

Material. Compounds 1-4 were obtained according to the general procedure reported in Scheme 3.

All reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere, diethyl ether was dried over $\mathrm{Na} /$ benzophenone and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, benzene and anisole were dried by stirring overnight with activated molecular sieves ( $4 \AA$, $4-8$ mesh) under nitrogen atmosphere.

Compounds 6a, 7a, and 8a were prepared according to the procedure described in the literature, ${ }^{24}$ but in the last step only 3 equiv of PCC (pyridinium chlorochromate) was used.

2-Phenylbicyclo[3.2.0]heptan-2-ol (6b). 6b was obtained as previously reported ${ }^{24}$ starting from bicyclo[3.2.0]heptan-2one and PhMgBr. Pale yellow oil, yield 98\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 1.39-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$

[^6]$+\mathrm{OH}), 2.73-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $50.3 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 18.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $38.0(\mathrm{CH}), 39.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.4(\mathrm{CH}), 83.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 125.2(\mathrm{CH}), 126.7$ (CH), $128.0(\mathrm{CH}), 148.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$.

1-Phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-7-ol (7b). To a concentrated sulfuric acid solution in acetic acid ( $0.5 \mathrm{~N}, 42 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added $\mathbf{6 b}(5.88 \mathrm{~g}, 31.3 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred for 5 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, neutralized with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and partitioned between water ( 400 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$. After being stirred for 10 min, the organic layer was separated, dried (sodium sulfate), filtered on silica gel, and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ and slowly added to a solution of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(2.04 \mathrm{~g}, 53.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for 2 h at ambient temperature, quenched (saturated ammonium chloride solution), and filtered on Celite. The mixture was then extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ) and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure affording a brown oil $(5.09 \mathrm{~g})$. The crude was purified by silica gel chromatography (petroleum ether/Et ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O} 7: 3$ ) to yield 3.44 $\mathrm{g}(18.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{7 b}$ as a yellow solid ( $60 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 1.31-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}+$ $\mathrm{OH}), 2.10-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.08-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 25.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $41.3(\mathrm{CH}), 53.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 81.7(\mathrm{CH}), 126.1(\mathrm{CH}), 127.0(\mathrm{CH}), 128.4$ (CH), $143.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$.

1-Phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-7-one (8b). To a solution of $\mathbf{7 b}(3.44 \mathrm{~g}, 18.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added molecular sieves ( $4 \AA$, activated powder, 10 g ) and PCC ( 5.9 g , 27.4 mmol ). After being stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h , the mixture was diluted with dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for an additional 1 h . The brown suspension was filtered on Celite and subsequently on silica gel to give an orange organic solution that was concentrated under reduced pressure. The byproduct contained in the crude (1-phenyl-2-oxabicyclo[2.2.2]-octan-3-one) was eliminated by precipitation from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Product 8b was obtained as a pale yellow oil ( $1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 9.95$ mmol ) and used for the next steps without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 1.69-1.76$ (m, 2 H ), $2.00-$ 2.21 (m, 7 H ), $7.23-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100.6 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 23.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.4(\mathrm{CH}), 47.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right)$, $126.4(\mathrm{CH}), 127.6(\mathrm{CH}), 128.1(\mathrm{CH}), 138.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 214.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$.

The alcohols 9a, 9b, and 10 were synthesized according to the following general procedure. Four millimol es of the ketone (8a, 8b) dissolved in dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added at ambient temperatureto a solution of the appropriate Grignard reagent ( 6 mmol of phenyl- or 4-methoxyphenylmagnesium bromide). The mixture was refluxed for about 1 h then quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution, extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated at reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (petroleum ether/Et $\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5: 1$, 9a, or petroleum ether/ $/ \mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 10:1, 9b). The crude alcohol 10 was directly used in the following step.

1-Methyl-7-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-7-ol (9a). Solid (45\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 1.26-1.41$ (m, 4 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.58-1.78 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.98$ (br t , J $=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.01-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 7.13-7.20$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $7.42-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(75.45 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 20.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.2(\mathrm{CH})$, $48.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 62.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 125.1(\mathrm{CH}), 127.8(\mathrm{CH}), 127.9(\mathrm{CH}), 146.0$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ).

1,7-Diphenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-7-ol (9b). Sticky solid ( $50 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 1.36-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.51-1.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.75-1.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.01-2.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.30-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.45-2.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.65(\mathrm{bt}, \mathrm{J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH ), 7.12-7.17 (m, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 7.26-7.30$ (m, 1 H, Ph), 7.327.37 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $7.62-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.45 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.6(\mathrm{CH}), 52.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 88.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 126.1(\mathrm{CH}), 127.3(\mathrm{CH})$, $127.4(\mathrm{CH}), 127.5(\mathrm{CH}), 128.2(\mathrm{CH}), 142.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 143.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$.

1-Phenyl-7-(4-methoxyphenyl)bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-7ol (10). Sticky solid ( $75 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 1.34-1.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.49-1.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.74-$ $1.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.99-2.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.27-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.44-2.51 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.61 (br t, J $=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH ), $3.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.65-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, anisole), 7.027.06 (m, 2 H, anisole), 7.24-7.29 (m, 1 H, Ph), 7.32-7.37 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 7.62-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $\left.25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : $\delta 25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.7$ (CH), $52.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 55.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 88.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 113.4(\mathrm{CH}), 126.1(\mathrm{CH})$, 127.5 (CH ), 128.1 (CH), 128.5 (CH ), $134.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 144.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 158.6$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ).

Compounds 1, 2, 3, and 4 were prepared from the corresponding al cohols in accordance with a general procedure. ${ }^{25}$ A solution of $\mathbf{9 a}, \mathbf{9 b}$, or $\mathbf{1 0}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of benzene ( $\mathbf{1}$ and 2) or anisole ( $\mathbf{3}$ and 4) was slowly added under nitrogen into a flask containing trifluoromethanesulfonic acid ( 1.5 mmol ) in 5 mL of the same solvent. After being stirred for 1.5 h , the mixture was poured into water ( 20 mL ) and the aqueous solution was neutralized with solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent removed at reduced pressure. A further purification of the products was obtained by silica gel chromatography ( $\mathbf{1}$, petroleum ether, 3, petroleum ether/ $/ \mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 10: 1, 4, cycl ohexane/toluene 20:1), or by recrystallization (ethanol, 2).

1-Methyl-7,7-diphenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (1). Solid ( $53 \%$ ); mp $73-73.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta$ 1.26-1.41 (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.58-1.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.98(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.01-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ph}), 7.13-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}), 7.42-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.45 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 20.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.2(\mathrm{CH}), 48.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 62.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 125.1(\mathrm{CH}$, para $), 127.8$ ( CH, meta), $127.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$, ortho), $146.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ : C (91.55); H (8.45). Found: C (91.53); H (8.42).

1,7,7-Triphenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (2). Solid (65\%); mp $133.5-134{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 1.46-$ $1.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.67-1.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.04-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.39-2.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH), 7.01-7.04 (m, 2 H, Ph), 7.09-7.12 (m, 4 H, Ph), 7.27$7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ Ph), 7.32-7.35 (m, 2 H, Ph), 7.48-7.50 (m, 2 H , Ph). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 28.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.3(\mathrm{CH}), 55.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 64.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 125.3(\mathrm{CH}$, para $), 126.2$ (CH, para), 127.4 (CH, meta), 127.5 (CH, meta), 128.9 (CH, ortho), 130.1 (CH, ortho), $142.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 145.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24}$ : C (92.54); H (7.46). Found: C (91.53); H (7.45).

7,7-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (3). Solid (50\%); mp $162-163^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 1.43-1.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.65-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.00-2.09 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.35-2.43 (m, 2 H, CH $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ), 3.24 (bt, J $=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.63-6.67(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H , anisole), 7.15-7.19 (m, 4 H, anisole), 7.25-7.30 (m, 1 H , Ph), 7.31-7.36 (m, 2 H, Ph), 7.47-7.50 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 28.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $36.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.6(\mathrm{CH})$, $55.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 55.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 63.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 112.8(\mathrm{CH}$, ortho, anisole), 126.1 (CH, para, Ph), 127.4 (CH, meta, Ph), 129.8 (CH , meta, anisole), 130.0 (CH, ortho, Ph), $138.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 143.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 156.9$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C (84.34), H (7.34). Found: C (84.36); H (7.36).

7-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,7-diphenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (4). Solid (90\%); mp 134-135 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 1.45-1.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.66-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.98-2.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.08-2.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.35-$ $2.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.29(\mathrm{bt}, \mathrm{J}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 6.65-6.67 (m, 2 H, anisole), 7.00-7.04 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.08-7.12 (m, 2 H, Ph), 7.18-7.21 (m, 2 H , anisole), 7.257.30 (m, 3 H, Ph), 7.32-7.35 (m, 2 H, Ph), 7.48-7.50 (m, 2 H, Ph). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $150.8 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 28.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.4(\mathrm{CH}), 55.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 55.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$,
(25) Martinez, A. G.; Barcina, J. O.; Cerezo, A. F.; Schlüter, A.-D.; Frahn, J. Adv. Mater. 1999, 11, 27.
$64.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 112.8(\mathrm{CH}$, ortho, anisole), 125.1 (CH, para, Ph$)$, 126.2 (CH, para, Ph ), 127.4 (CH, meta, Ph ), 127.5 (CH, meta, Ph), 128.7 (CH, ortho, Ph), 130.0 (CH, meta, anisole), 130.1 ( CH , ortho, Ph ), $137.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 142.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{q}\right), 146.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 157.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}$ : C (88.09); $\mathrm{H}(7.39)$. F ound: C (88.11); H (7.36).

7-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-7-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (5). To a solution of mesityllithium ( 1.24 mmol ) in dry THF ( 3 mL ) cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added ketone $\mathbf{8 b}$ ( $210 . \mathrm{mg}, 1.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was warmed to ambient temperature, further stirred for 1 h , quenched with a saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated at reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel chromatography (petroleum ether/Et2O 9:1) provided the alcohol 7-mesityl-1-phenyl bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan7 -ol as a white solid ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$ ). Under the same conditions reported above, ${ }^{25}$ the reaction with trifluoromethanesulfonic acid ( 0.78 mmol ) in anisole ( 3 mL ) supplied a mixture of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ that were separated by preparativeTLC (petroleum ether/ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10: 1$ ) to yield $46 \mathrm{mg}(0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 23 \%)$ and 50 mg ( $0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \%$ ), respectively. Mp of $5177.5-178.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 1.32-1.58$ (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.71-1.81 (m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.97\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.41$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.81 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.40 (br s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.71-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.62-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4$ H, Ar), 7.00-7.07 (m, 1 H, Ar), 7.17-7.32 (m, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.39-$ 7.43 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.52-7.57 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.45 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 28.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.5\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $40.8\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.2(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}), 55.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 55.3\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $55.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 63.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 111.5(\mathrm{CH}), 112.4(\mathrm{CH}), 119.6(\mathrm{CH}), 125.7$ (CH ), $126.8(\mathrm{CH}), 127.3(\mathrm{CH}), 128.1(\mathrm{CH}), 129.8(\mathrm{CH}), 132.0$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 143.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 157.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right), 157.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$, Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C (84.34); H (7.34). Found: C (84.31); H (7.33).

NMR Spectra. The samples for the low-temperature measurements were prepared by connecting to a vacuum line the NMR tubes containing the compound and some deuterated solvent for locking purposes and condensing therein the gaseous solvents ( $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{CHFCl}_{2}$ ) by means of liquid nitrogen. The tubes were subsequently sealed in vacuo and
introduced into the precooled probe of the spectrometer. The temperatures were calibrated by substituting the sample with a precision $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Ni}$ thermocouple before the measurements. Complete fitting of the dynamic NMR line shape was carried out by using a PC version of the DNMR-6 program. ${ }^{26}$

HPLC separation of the enantiomers of 4 was performed at $+25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a Chiralcel OD-H column ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6$ mm ID, UV 254 nm , flow rate $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ( n -hexane/ $/ \mathrm{PrOH}$ 99.5:0.5). The necessary amount of the two separated enantiomers was obtained by collecting several elutions.
CD spectra of the enantiomers of 4 were recorded at +25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a J asco J - 600 dicrograph in a 0.01 cm cell in the range 185-325 nm.
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