



ISSN 2590-9770 The Art of Discrete and Applied Mathematics 1 (2018) #P2.07 https://doi.org/10.26493/2590-9770.1219.034 (Also available at http://adam-journal.eu)

An alternate description of a (q + 1, 8)-cage

Marièn Abreu *

Dipartimento di Matematica Informatica ed Economia, Università degli Studi della Basilicata, Viale dell'Ateneo Lucano 10, I-85100 Potenza, Italy

Gabriela Araujo-Pardo[†]

Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México D. F., México

Camino Balbuena

Departament de Matemática Aplicada III, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Campus Nord, Edifici C2, C/ Jordi Girona 1 i 3 E-08034, Barcelona, Spain

Domenico Labbate [‡]

Dipartimento di Matematica Informatica ed Economia, Università degli Studi della Basilicata, Viale dell'Ateneo Lucano 10, I-85100 Potenza, Italy

Received 15 November 2017, accepted 4 June 2018, published online 23 July 2019

Abstract

Let $q \ge 2$ be a prime power. In this note we present an alternate description of the known (q + 1, 8)-cages which has allowed us to construct small (k, g)-graphs for k = q - 1, q and g = 7, 8 in other papers on this same topic.

Keywords: Cages, girth, Moore graphs, perfect dominating sets. Math. Subj. Class.: 05C35, 05C69, 05B25

^{*}This research was carried out within the activity of INdAM-GNSAGA and supported by the Italian Ministry MIUR. Research supported by PAPIIT-México under Project IN107218.

[†]Research supported by CONACyT-México under Project 282280 and PAPIIT-México under Projects IN106318 and IN107218.

[‡]Corresponding author. This research was carried out within the activity of INdAM-GNSAGA and supported by the Italian Ministry MIUR. Research supported by PAPIIT-México under Project IN107218.

E-mail addresses: marien.abreu@unibas.it (Marièn Abreu), garaujo@matem.unam.mx (Gabriela Araujo-Pardo), m.camino.balbuena@upc.edu (Camino Balbuena), domenico.labbate@unibas.it (Domenico Labbate)

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, only undirected simple graphs without loops or multiple edges are considered. Unless otherwise stated, we follow the book by Bondy and Murty [14] for terminology and notation.

Let G be a graph with vertex set V = V(G) and edge set E = E(G). The girth of G is the number g = g(G) of edges in a shortest cycle. For every $v \in V$, $N_G(v)$ denotes the neighbourhood of v, i.e. the set of all vertices adjacent to v, and $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$ is the closed neighbourhood of v. The degree of a vertex $v \in V$ is the cardinality of $N_G(v)$. Let $S \subset V(G)$, then we denote by $N_G(S) = \bigcup_{s \in S} N_G(s) - S$ and by $N_G[S] = S \cup N_G(S)$.

A graph is called *regular* if all its vertices have the same degree. A (k, g)-graph is a k-regular graph with girth g. Erdős and Sachs [15] proved the existence of (k, g)-graphs for all values of k and g provided that $k \ge 2$. Since then most work carried out has focused on constructing a smallest (k, g)-graph (cf. e.g. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 16, 18, 20, 21, 22]). A (k, g)-cage is a k-regular graph with girth g having the smallest possible number of vertices. Cages have been intensely studied since they were introduced by Tutte [25] in 1947. More details about constructions of cages can be found in the recent survey by Exoo and Jajcay [17].

In this note we are interested in (k, 8)-cages. Counting the number of vertices in the distance partition with respect to an edge yields the following lower bound on the order of a (k, 8)-cage:

$$n_0(k,8) = 2(1 + (k-1) + (k-1)^2 + (k-1)^3).$$
(1.1)

A (k, 8)-cage with $n_0(k, 8)$ vertices is called a Moore (k, 8)-graph (cf. [14]). These graphs have been constructed as the incidence graphs of generalized quadrangles Q(4, q)and W(q) [12, 17, 24], which are known to exist for q a prime power and k = q + 1 and no example is known when k - 1 is not a prime power (cf. [11, 13, 19, 27]). Since they are incidence graphs, these cages are bipartite and have diameter 4. Recall also that if q is even, Q(4, q) is isomorphic to the dual of W(q) and viceversa. Hence, the corresponding (q + 1, 8)-cages are isomorphic.

In this note we present an alternate description of the known (q+1, 8)-cages with $q \ge 2$ a prime power as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with $q \ge 2$ a prime power and ϱ be a symbol not belonging to \mathbb{F}_q . Let $\Gamma_q = \Gamma_q[W_0, W_1]$ denote a bipartite graph with vertex sets $W_i = \mathbb{F}_q^3 \cup \{(\varrho, b, c)_i, (\varrho, \varrho, c)_i : b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(\varrho, \varrho, \varrho)_i\}, i = 0, 1$, and edge set defined as follows:

For all
$$a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$$

 $N_{\Gamma_q}((a, b, c)_1) = \{(w, aw + b, a^2w + 2ab + c)_0 : w \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(\varrho, a, c)_0\};$
 $N_{\Gamma_q}((\varrho, b, c)_1) = \{(c, b, w)_0 : w \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(\varrho, \varrho, c)_0\};$
 $N_{\Gamma_q}((\varrho, \varrho, c)_1) = \{(\varrho, c, w)_0 : w \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(\varrho, \varrho, \varrho)_0\};$
 $N_{\Gamma_q}((\varrho, \varrho, \varrho)_1) = \{(\varrho, \varrho, w)_0 : w \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(\varrho, \varrho, \varrho)_0\};$

Or equivalently,

$$\begin{split} \text{For all } i, j, k \in \mathbb{F}_q \\ N_{\Gamma_q}((i, j, k)_0) &= \{(w, \ j - wi, \ w^2 i - 2wj + k)_1 : w \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(\varrho, j, i)_1\} \\ N_{\Gamma_q}((\varrho, j, k)_0) &= \{(j, w, k)_1 : w \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(\varrho, \varrho, j)_1\} \\ N_{\Gamma_q}((\varrho, \varrho, k)_0) &= \{(\varrho, w, k)_1 : w \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(\varrho, \varrho, \varrho)_1\}; \\ N_{\Gamma_q}((\varrho, \varrho, \varrho)_0) &= \{(\varrho, \varrho, w)_1 : w \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \cup \{(\varrho, \varrho, \varrho)_1\}. \end{split}$$

Note that ρ is just a symbol not belonging to \mathbb{F}_q and no arithmetical operation will be performed with it.

Theorem 1.2. The graph Γ_q given in Definition 1.1 is a Moore (q + 1, 8)-graph for each prime power $q \ge 2$.

The proof of the above theorem shows that the graph Γ_q described in Definition 1.1 is in fact a labelling for a (q + 1, 8)-cage, for each prime power $q \ge 2$. We need to settle this alternate description because it is used in [2, 3, 4] to construct small (k, g)-graphs for k = q - 1, q and g = 7, 8.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

2.1 Preliminaries: the graphs H_q and B_q

In order to prove Theorem 1.2 we will first define two q-regular bipartite graphs H_q and B_q (cf. Definitions 2.1 and 2.4). The graph H_q was also introduced by Lazebnik, Ustimenko and Woldar [20] with a different formulation.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with $q \ge 2$. Let $H_q = H_q[U_0, U_1]$ be a bipartite graph with vertex set $U_r = \mathbb{F}_q^3$, r = 0, 1, and edge set $E(H_q)$ defined as follows:

For all
$$a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$$

 $N_{H_q}((a, b, c)_1) = \{(w, aw + b, a^2w + c)_0 : w \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$

Note that throughout the proofs equalities and operations are intended in \mathbb{F}_q .

Lemma 2.2. Let H_q be the graph from Definition 2.1. For any given $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the vertices in the set $\{(a, b, c)_1 : b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ are mutually at distance at least four. And, for any given $i \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the vertices in the set $\{(i, j, k)_0 : j, k \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ are mutually at distance at least four.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a path of length two between distinct vertices of the form $(a, b, c)_1$ $(w, j, k)_0$ $(a, b', c')_1$ in H_q . By Definition 2.1, j = aw + b = aw + b' and $k = a^2w + c = a^2w + c'$. Combining the equations we get b = b' and c = c' which implies that $(a, b, c)_1 = (a, b', c')_1$ contradicting the assumption that the path has length two. Similarly suppose that there exists a path of length two $(i, j, k)_0$ $(a, b, c)_1$ $(i, j', k')_0$. Reasoning as before, we obtain j = ai + b = j', and $k = a^2i + c = k'$ yielding $(i, j, k)_0 = (i, j', k')_0$ which is a contradiction.

Proposition 2.3. The graph H_q from Definition 2.1 is q-regular, bipartite, of girth 8 and order $2q^3$.

Proof. For q = 2 it can be checked that H_2 consists of two disjoint cycles of length 8. Thus we assume that $q \ge 3$. Clearly H_q has order $2q^3$ and every vertex of U_1 has degree q. Let $(x, y, z)_0 \in U_0$. By definition of H_q ,

$$N_{H_q}((x, y, z)_0) = \left\{ (a, y - ax, z - a^2 x)_1 : a \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\}.$$
 (2.1)

Hence every vertex of U_0 has also degree q and H_q is q-regular. Next, let us prove that H_q has no cycles of length smaller than 8. Otherwise suppose that there exists in H_q a cycle

$$C_{2t+2} = (a_0, b_0, c_0)_1 (x_0, y_0, z_0)_0 (a_1, b_1, c_1)_1 \cdots (x_t, y_t, z_t)_0 (a_0, b_0, c_0)_1$$

of length 2t + 2 with $t \in \{1, 2\}$. By Lemma 2.2, $a_k \neq a_{k+1}$ and $x_k \neq x_{k+1}$ (subscripts being taken modulo t + 1). Then

$$y_k = a_k x_k + b_k = a_{k+1} x_k + b_{k+1}, \quad k = 0, \dots, t,$$

$$z_k = a_k^2 x_k + c_k = a_{k+1}^2 x_k + c_{k+1}, \quad k = 0, \dots, t,$$

subscripts k being taken modulo t + 1. Summing all these equalities we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} (a_k - a_{k+1}) x_k = (a_0 - a_t) x_t, \quad t = 1, 2;$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} (a_k^2 - a_{k+1}^2) x_k = (a_0^2 - a_t^2) x_t, \quad t = 1, 2.$$
(2.2)

If t = 1, then (2.2) leads to $(a_0 - a_1)(x_1 - x_0) = 0$. System (2.2) gives $x_0 = x_1 = x_2$ which is a contradiction to Lemma 2.2. This means that H_q has no squares so that we may assume that t = 2. The coefficient matrix of (2.2) has a Vandermonde determinant, i.e.

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_1 - a_0 & a_0 - a_2 \\ a_1^2 - a_0^2 & a_0^2 - a_2^2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_2 \\ a_1^2 & a_0^2 & a_2^2 \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{0 \le k < j \le 2} (a_j - a_k).$$

This determinant is different from zero because by Lemma 2.2, $a_{k+1} \neq a_k$ (the subscripts being taken modulo 3). Using Cramer's rule to solve it we obtain $x_1 = x_0 = x_2$ which is a contradiction to Lemma 2.2.

Hence, H_q has girth at least 8. Furthermore, when $q \ge 3$ the minimum number of vertices of a q-regular bipartite graph of girth greater than 8 must be greater than $2q^3$. Thus we conclude that the girth of H_q is exactly 8.

Next, we will make use of the following induced subgraph B_q of Γ_q .

Definition 2.4. Let $B_q = B_q[V_0, V_1]$ be a bipartite graph with vertex set $V_i = \mathbb{F}_q^3$, i = 0, 1, and edge set $E(B_q)$ defined as follows:

For all
$$a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$$

 $N_{B_q}((a, b, c)_1) = \{(j, aj + b, a^2j + 2ab + c)_0 : j \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$

Lemma 2.5. The graph B_q is isomorphic to the graph H_q .

Proof. Let H_q be the bipartite graph from Definition 2.1. Since the map $\sigma: B_q \to H_q$ defined by $\sigma((a, b, c)_1) = (a, b, 2ab+c)_1$ and $\sigma((x, y, z)_0) = (x, y, z)_0$ is an isomorphism, the result holds.

Hence, the graph B_q is also q-regular, bipartite, of girth 8 and order $2q^3$.

In what follows, we will obtain the graph Γ_q from the graph B_q by adding some new vertices and edges. We need a preliminary lemma.

Lemma 2.6. Let B_q be the graph from Definition 2.4. Then the following hold:

- (i) The vertices in the set $\{(a, b, c)_1 : b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ are mutually at distance at least four for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.
- (ii) The vertices in the set $\{(i, j, k)_0 : j, k \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ are mutually at distance at least four for all $i \in \mathbb{F}_q$.
- (iii) The q vertices of the set $\{(x, y, j)_0 : j \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ are mutually at distance at least six for all $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Proof. The proof of items (i) and (ii) is almost identical to that of Lemma 2.2.

(iii): By (ii), the vertices in $\{(x, y, j)_0 : j \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ are mutually at distance at least four. Suppose by contradiction that B_q contains the following path of length four:

 $(x, y, j)_0 (a, b, c)_1 (x', y', j')_0 (a', b', c')_1 (x, y, j'')_0$, for some $j'' \neq j$.

Then y = ax+b = a'x+b' and y' = ax'+b = a'x'+b'. It follows that (a-a')(x-x') = 0, which is a contradiction since, by the previous statements, $a \neq a'$ and $x \neq x'$.

2.2 The conclusion

Figure 1 shows a spanning tree of Γ_q with the vertices labelled according to Definition 1.1. Note that the lower level of such a tree corresponds to the set of vertices of B_q .



Figure 1: Spanning tree of Γ_q .

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2:

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $B'_q = B'_q[V_0, V'_1]$ be the bipartite graph obtained from $B_q = B_q[V_0, V_1]$ by adding q^2 new vertices to V_1 labeled $(\varrho, b, c)_1$, $b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ (i.e., $V'_1 = V_1 \cup \{(\varrho, b, c)_1 : b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$), and new edges $N_{B'_q}((\varrho, b, c)_1) = \{(c, b, j)_0 : j \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ (see Figure 1). Then B'_q has $|V'_1| + |V_0| = 2q^3 + q^2$ vertices, every vertex of V_0 has degree q + 1, and every vertex of V'_1 has still degree q. Note that the girth of B'_q is 8 by Lemma 2.6(iii). The statements from Lemma 2.6 still partially hold in B'_q , as stated in the following claim.

Claim 1. For any given $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\varrho\}$, the vertices of the set $\{(a, b, c)_1 : b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ are mutually at distance at least four in B'_q .

Proof. For $a = \rho$, it is clear from Lemma 2.6(i), since the new vertices do not change the distance among the vertices in the set $\{(a, b, c)_1 : b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$. For $a = \rho$, the vertices in the set $\{(a, b, c)_1 : b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ are mutually at distance at least four since each vertex of the form $(i, j, k)_0$ has exactly one neighbour in this set, so the result follows from the bipartition of B'_q .

Claim 2. For all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\varrho\}$ and for all $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the q vertices of the set $\{(a, t, c)_1 : t \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ are mutually at distance at least 6 in B'_q .

Proof. By Claim 1, for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\varrho\}$ the q vertices of $\{(a, t, c)_1 : t \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ are mutually at distance at least 4 in B'_q . Suppose that there exists in B'_q the following path of length four:

$$(a,t,c)_1 (x,y,z)_0 (a',t',c')_1 (x',y',z')_0 (a,t'',c)_1$$
, for some $t'' \neq t$.

If $a = \varrho$, then x = x' = c, y = t, y' = t'' and $a' \neq \varrho$ by Claim 1. Then y = a'x + t' = a'x' + t' = y' yielding that t = t'' which is a contradiction. Therefore $a \neq \varrho$. If $a' = \varrho$, then x = x' = c' and y = y' = t'. Thus y = ax + t = ax' + t'' = y' yielding that t = t'' which is a contradiction. Hence we may assume that $a' \neq \varrho$ and $a \neq a'$ by Claim 1. In this case we have:

$$y = ax + t = a'x + t';$$

$$y' = ax' + t'' = a'x' + t';$$

$$z = a^{2}x + 2at + c = a'^{2}x + 2a't' + c';$$

$$z' = a^{2}x' + 2at'' + c = a'^{2}x' + 2a't' + c'$$

Thus,

$$(a - a')(x - x') = t'' - t; (2.3)$$

$$(a2 - a'2)(x - x') = 2a(t'' - t).$$
(2.4)

If q is even, (2.4) leads to x = x' and (2.3) leads to t'' = t which is a contradiction with our assumption. Thus assume q is odd. If a + a' = 0, then (2.4) gives 2a(t'' - t) = 0, so that a = 0 yielding that a' = 0 (because a + a' = 0) which is again a contradiction. If $a + a' \neq 0$, multiplying equation (2.3) by a + a' and subtracting both equations we obtain (2a - (a + a'))(t'' - t) = 0. Then a = a' because $t'' \neq t$, which is a contradiction to Claim 1. Therefore, Claim 2 holds.

Let $B''_q = B''_q[V'_0, V'_1]$ be the graph obtained from $B'_q = B'_q[V_0, V'_1]$ by adding $q^2 + q$ new vertices to V_0 labeled $(\varrho, a, c)_0, a \in \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\varrho\}, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and new edges $N_{B''_q}((\varrho, a, c)_0) =$ $\{(a, t, c)_1 : t \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ (see Figure 1). Then B''_q has $|V'_1| + |V'_0| = 2q^3 + 2q^2 + q$ vertices such that every vertex has degree q + 1 except the new added vertices which have degree q. Moreover the girth of B''_q is 8 by Claim 2.

Claim 3. For all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\varrho\}$, the q vertices of the set $\{(\varrho, a, j)_0 : j \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ are mutually at distance at least 6 in B''_q .

Proof. Clearly these q vertices are mutually at distance at least 4 in B''_q . Suppose that there exists in B''_q the following path of length four:

$$(\varrho, a, j)_0 (a, b, j)_1 (x, y, z)_0 (a, b', j')_1 (\varrho, a, j')_0$$
, for some $j' \neq j$.

If $a = \rho$ then x = j = j' which is a contradiction. Therefore $a \neq \rho$. In this case y = ax + b = ax + b' which implies that b = b'. Hence $z = a^2x + 2ab + j = a^2x + 2ab' + j'$ yielding that j = j' which is again a contradiction.

Let $B_q''' = B_q'''[V_0', V_1'']$ be the graph obtained from B_q'' by adding q + 1 new vertices to V_1' labeled $(\varrho, \varrho, a)_1, a \in \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\varrho\}$, and new edges $N_{B_q''}(\varrho, \varrho, a)_1 = \{(\varrho, a, c)_0 : c \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$, see Figure 1. Then B_q''' has $|V_1''| + |V_0'| = 2q^3 + 2q^2 + 2q + 1$ vertices such that every vertex has degree q + 1 except the new added vertices which have degree q. Moreover the girth of B_q''' is 8 by Claim 3 and clearly these q + 1 new vertices are mutually at distance 6. Finally, the graph Γ_q is obtained by adding to B_q''' another new vertex labeled $(\varrho, \varrho, \varrho)_0$ and edges $N_{\Gamma_q}((\varrho, \varrho, \varrho)_0) = \{(\varrho, \varrho, i)_1 : i \in \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\varrho\}\}$. The graph Γ_q has $2(q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)$ vertices, it is (q + 1)-regular and has girth 8, so by the uniqueness of a (q + 1, 8)-cage (see e.g. [29]), Γ_q is indeed a (q + 1, 8) Moore graph.

Remark 2.7. Coordinatizations of classical generalized quadrangles Q(4,q) and W(q) in four dimensions are discussed in [23, 26, 28]. The alternate description of a Moore (q+1,8)-graph given in Theorem 1.2 in three dimensions is equivalent to this coordinatization.

References

- M. Abreu, G. Araujo-Pardo, C. Balbuena and D. Labbate, Families of small regular graphs of girth 5, *Discrete Math.* 312 (2012), 2832–2842, doi:10.1016/j.disc.2012.05.020.
- [2] M. Abreu, G. Araujo-Pardo, C. Balbuena and D. Labbate, A construction of small (q 1)regular graphs of girth 8, *Electron. J. Combin.* 22 (2015), #P2.10 (8 pages), https://www. combinatorics.org/ojs/index.php/eljc/article/view/v22i2p10.
- [3] M. Abreu, G. Araujo-Pardo, C. Balbuena, D. Labbate and J. Salas, Families of small regular graphs of girth 7, *Electron. Notes Discrete Math.* 40 (2013), 341–345, doi:10.1016/j.endm. 2013.05.060.
- [4] M. Abreu, G. Araujo-Pardo, C. Balbuena, D. Labbate and J. Salas, Small regular graphs of girth 7, *Electron. J. Combin.* 22 (2015), #P3.5 (16 pages), https://www.combinatorics. org/ojs/index.php/eljc/article/view/v22i3p5.
- [5] M. Abreu, M. Funk, D. Labbate and V. Napolitano, On (minimal) regular graphs of girth 6, Australas. J. Combin. 35 (2006), 119–132, https://ajc.maths.uq.edu.au/pdf/35/ajc_v35_p119.pdf.

- [6] M. Abreu, M. Funk, D. Labbate and V. Napolitano, A family of regular graphs of girth 5, Discrete Math. 308 (2008), 1810–1815, doi:10.1016/j.disc.2007.04.031.
- [7] G. Araujo-Pardo, C. Balbuena and T. Héger, Finding small regular graphs of girths 6, 8 and 12 as subgraphs of cages, *Discrete Math.* 310 (2010), 1301–1306, doi:10.1016/j.disc.2009.12.014.
- [8] C. Balbuena, Incidence matrices of projective planes and of some regular bipartite graphs of girth 6 with few vertices, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 22 (2008), 1351–1363, doi:10.1137/ 070688225.
- [9] C. Balbuena, A construction of small regular bipartite graphs of girth 8, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* **11** (2009), 33–46, https://dmtcs.episciences.org/461.
- [10] E. Bannai and T. Ito, On finite Moore graphs, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 20 (1973), 191–208, http://hdl.handle.net/2261/6123.
- [11] L. M. Batten, Combinatorics of Finite Geometries, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition, 1997, doi:10.1017/cbo9780511665608.
- [12] C. T. Benson, Minimal regular graphs of girths eight and twelve, *Canadian J. Math.* 18 (1966), 1091–1094, doi:10.4153/cjm-1966-109-8.
- [13] N. Biggs, Algebraic Graph Theory, volume 67 of Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition, 1993, doi:10.1017/cbo9780511608704.
- [14] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, volume 244 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer, New York, 2008, doi:10.1007/978-1-84628-970-5.
- [15] P. Erdős and H. Sachs, Reguläre Graphen gegebener Taillenweite mit minimaler Knotenzahl, Wiss. Z. Martin-Luther-Univ. Halle-Wittenberg Math.-Natur. Reihe 12 (1963), 251–257, https://users.renyi.hu/~p_erdos/1963-16.pdf.
- [16] G. Exoo, A simple method for constructing small cubic graphs of girths 14, 15, and 16, *Electron. J. Combin.* 3 (1996), #R30 (3 pages), https://www.combinatorics.org/ojs/index.php/eljc/article/view/v3ilr30.
- [17] G. Exoo and R. Jajcay, Dynamic cage survey, *Electron. J. Combin.* (2013), #DS16 (55 pages), https://www.combinatorics.org/ojs/index.php/eljc/article/view/DS16.
- [18] A. Gács and T. Héger, On geometric constructions of (k, g)-graphs, Contrib. Discrete Math. 3 (2008), 63–80, doi:10.11575/cdm.v3i1.61998.
- [19] C. Godsil and G. Royle, Algebraic Graph Theory, volume 207 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001, doi:10.1007/978-1-4613-0163-9.
- [20] F. Lazebnik, V. A. Ustimenko and A. J. Woldar, New upper bounds on the order of cages, *Electron. J. Combin.* 4 (1997), #R13 (11 pages), https://www.combinatorics.org/ ojs/index.php/eljc/article/view/v4i2r13.
- [21] M. Meringer, Fast generation of regular graphs and construction of cages, J. Graph Theory 30 (1999), 137–146, doi:10.1002/(sici)1097-0118(199902)30:2(137::aid-jgt7)3.0.co;2-g.
- [22] M. O'Keefe and P. K. Wong, The smallest graph of girth 6 and valency 7, J. Graph Theory 5 (1981), 79–85, doi:10.1002/jgt.3190050105.
- [23] S. E. Payne, Affine representations of generalized quadrangles, J. Algebra 16 (1970), 473–485, doi:10.1016/0021-8693(70)90001-3.
- [24] S. E. Payne and J. A. Thas, *Finite Generalized Quadrangles*, volume 110 of *Research Notes in Mathematics*, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, MA, 1984.
- [25] W. T. Tutte, A family of cubical graphs, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 43 (1947), 459–474, doi:10.1017/s0305004100023720.

- [26] V. A. Ustimenko, A linear interpretation of the flag geometries of Chevalley groups, Ukr. Math. J. 42 (1990), 341–344, doi:10.1007/bf01057020.
- [27] J. H. van Lint and R. M. Wilson, *A Course in Combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [28] H. van Maldeghem, *Generalized Polygons*, volume 93 of *Monographs in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998, doi:10.1007/978-3-0348-0271-0.
- [29] P. K. Wong, Cages—a survey, J. Graph Theory 6 (1982), 1–22, doi:10.1002/jgt.3190060103.