Axillary lymph node dissection in breast cancer patients: obsolete or still necessary?



Gianluca Vanni,^{a,*} Marco Pellicciaro,^{a,b} and Oreste Claudio Buonomo^{a,b,c}

^aBreast Unit Policlinico Tor Vergata, Department of Surgical Science, Tor Vergata University, Viale Oxford 81, 00133, Rome, RM, Italy ^bPhD Program in Applied Medical-Surgical Sciences, Department of Surgical Science, Tor Vergata University, Rome, RM, Italy ^cUniversity of Basilicata, Via Nazario Sauro, 85, 85100, Potenza, PZ, Italy



101107

Given the decreased prognostic value of axillary staging, over the last decades, the role of axillary surgical staging has evolved considerably.1 Several randomized clinical trials demonstrated that local control of the disease can be achieved without axillary lymph node dissection, even in the presence of minimal to moderate nodal involvement.^{2,3} Despite systemic therapy is tailored to tumor intrinsic subtype, in some clinical conditions the decision of adding adjuvant treatments is still influenced by nodal status (Table 1).4

The RxPONDER trial demonstrated no benefit for chemotherapy in post-menopausal women with HR + breast cancer, 1 to 3 positive lymph nodes, and a low recurrence score (≤25).4 According to this result a surgical axillary staging plays a fundamental role on the identification of low-risk patients who may benefit from chemotherapy de-escalation. A recent long-term trial, monarchE, confirms the benefits of adjuvant abemaciclib added to endocrine therapy in patients with HR + breast cancer and ≥4 positive lymph nodes or 1–3 lymph nodes with histologic grade 3 disease or tumor size ≥5 cm.5 Based on these findings, omission of axillary lymph node dissection in patients with HR + HER2-breast cancer could lead to under-staging and consequent undertreatments.

In this Issue of The Lancet Regional Health - Europe, Tove and colleagues evaluated the impact of axillary lymph node dissection omission on adjuvant chemotherapy in cN0 HR + HER2-breast cancer patients with positive sentinel lymph nodes in their secondary analvsis of data from the SENOMAC trial.6 Authors reported, in their double arm randomized clinical trial, no significant difference in the overall portion of patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy with no impact on the five years recurrence free survival, despite the significantly higher rate of positive lymph nodes identified in

patients subjected to axillary lymph node dissection considering a sample size of 2168 patients.6 In this secondary analysis, Tove and colleagues subdivided the

*Corresponding author. Breast Unit, Department of Surgical Science, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Viale Montpellier 1, 00133, Rome, E-mail address: gianluca.vanni@ptvonline.it (G. Vanni).

DOI of original article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2024.101083

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

cases according to menopausal status.6 In premenopausal patients, axillary clearance did not impact adjuvant chemotherapy, probably due to the influence of breast cancer intrinsic subtype. Differently, when the authors considered age of 50 years as the cut-off for menopausal status, they reported, in the postmenopausal sample, a significantly higher incidence of chemotherapy in patients subjected to axillary lymph node dissection, highlighting a possible under-staging and under-treatment for this category of breast cancer women if axillary lymph node dissection is omitted.6

Therefore, considering axillary lymph node dissection mandatory after a metastatic sentinel lymph node in women with HR + HER2-breast cancer could be an overtreatment due to the low probability of finding pN2 axillary staging in cN0 patients (ranging from 3.5% to 16% in published trials).^{2,3,7} In fact, most patients who are at risk of having four or more positive lymph nodes usually have locally advanced breast cancer and clinically positive lymph nodes.7 On the other hand, in patients who are candidates to abemaciclib, a second surgery for axillary clearance will delay adjuvant systemic therapy and therefore impair oncological outcome.7 This controversy is not yet resolved, and it could be partly resolved by the use of ribociclib.8 In fact, preliminary results of NATALEE trial support ribociclib added to endocrine therapy in patients with HR + HER2-, including pN0 patients, overcoming the need to perform axillary lymph node dissection to determine the need for CDK4/6 inhibitors. Axillary surgery, due to its related sequel, should be de-escalated; in concordance with Tove and colleagues, the development of new predictive tools and imaging technologies to determine axillary stage is needed.6

In the secondary analysis of SENOMAC trial data, authors reported a significant variation between countries and regions in the proportion of adjuvant chemotherapy due to the gradual implementation of deescalating guidelines.6 Although these results, of safe omission of axillary lymph nodes clearance after metastatic lymph node have been well demonstrated,2,3 adherence to this de-escalation, out of the study trial, is believed to remain very low in routine clinical practice. These recommendations should be added to the guidelines as soon as possible, in order to achieve largescale results and homogeneity in the treatments for breast cancer patients.

The Lancet Regional Health - Europe 2024;47: 101107 Published Online xxx https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.lanepe.2024.

Comment

Indication to adding chemotherapy to hormone treatment in luminal-like B breast cancer

Indication for regional node irradiation

Indication for abemaciclib in patients fulfilling monarchE criteria Indication for dual anti-HER2 therapy

Indication to Olaparib in post-NeoCHT in patients BRCA+

Indication to type and duration of endocrine treatment in ER+ breast cancer

Table 1: Clinical conditions where adjuvant treatments are influenced by nodal status.

Despite oncological safety of axillary lymph nodes clearance, omission was reported in many trials. In order to obtain results that truly allow for safely avoidance of axillary lymph-node clearance with no risk of undertreatment, multidisciplinary randomized clinical trials involving surgeons, oncologists and radiation physicians should be performed. Furthermore, longer follow-ups are needed, considering that events occur gradually over 10–15 years in patients with HR + HER2-breast cancer.

Contributors

GV conceptualized and wrote the commentary and direct literature data discussion. MP performed literature revision and discussed the literature data and contributed to the final manuscript. OCB supervision, discussed the literature data and contributed to the final manuscript. All the authors review and approved the final version.

Declaration of interests

All the authors declare that they have no potential conflict of interest.

References

- Gentilini OD, Botteri E, Sangalli C, et al. Sentinel lymph node biopsy vs No axillary surgery in patients with small breast cancer and negative results on ultrasonography of axillary lymph nodes: the SOUND randomized clinical trial. JAMA Oncol. 2023;9(11):1557–1564. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2023.3759.
- 2 Giuliano AE, Ballman KV, McCall L, et al. Effect of axillary dissection vs No axillary dissection on 10-year overall survival among women with invasive breast cancer and sentinel node metastasis: the ACO-SOG Z0011 (alliance) randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2017;318(10):918–926. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.11470.
- 3 de Bonifacé J, Filtenborg Tvedskov T, Rydén L, et al. Omitting axillary dissection in breast cancer with sentinel-node metastases. N Engl J Med. 2024;390(13):1163–1175. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NFIM0a2313487
- 4 Kalinsky K, Barlow WE, Gralow JR, et al. 21-Gene assay to inform chemotherapy benefit in node-positive breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2021;385(25):2336–2347. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2108873.
- Johnston SRD, Toi M, O'Shaughnessy J, et al. monarchE Committee Members. Abemaciclib plus endocrine therapy for hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative, node-positive, high-risk early breast cancer (monarchE): results from a preplanned interim analysis of a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2023;24(1):77–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(22)00694-5.
- Tvedskov, Tove FiltenborgNorenstedt, Sophie, et al. Axillary clearance and chemotherapy rates in ER+HER2- breast cancer: secondary analysis of the SENOMAC trial. Lancet Reg Health Eur. 2024;47:101083. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2024.101083.
- 7 Lee MK, Montagna G, Pilewskie ML, Sevilimedu V, Morrow M. Axillary staging is not Justified in postmenopausal clinically nodenegative women based on nodal disease burden. Ann Surg Oncol. 2023;30(1):92–97. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-022-12203-x.
- 8 Li Q, Cai Y, Li J. Ribociclib plus endocrine therapy in early breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2024;390(23):2220. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEIMc2404917.